

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,859 | 01/06/2004 | James J. Bartel | 7663-000003/COD | 4846 |
| 27572 | 7590 09/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT | |
| P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BECOMILED MEES, MI 10303 | | | 3618 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ζ, | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/751,859 | BARTEL, JAMES J. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Frank Vanaman | 3618 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | · | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 9-29 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | ' ' | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | - · · · · · | , , | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/751,859

Art Unit: 3618

Election of Species

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, shown in figures 2, 8, 9 and 10

Sub-Species I-a, shown in figures 3 and 4;

Sub-Species I-b, shown in figure 5;

Sub-Species I-c, shown in figure 6;

Sub-Species I-d, shown in figure 7;

(one of sub-species a-d should additionally be chosen in the event of

choosing Species I)

FBV 8

Species II, shown in figures 10 and 11; 12

Species III, shown in figures 13-15;

Species IV, shown in figures 16-19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 2. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 3. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3618

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 703-308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ___

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to one of the following fax servers:

Regular Communications/Amendments: 703-872-9326

After Final Amendments: 703-872-9327

Customer Service Communications: 703-872-9325

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618

Art Unit 3618